UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,745	03/14/2006	Werner Kozek	2003P13559WOUS	9866
	7590 07/02/200 & LLOYD, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1135			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
		_		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/571,745	KOZEK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc D. Tran	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 2</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 10-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress start 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. 	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eftechiou (6,002,747) in view of Gorka (2007/0001685).

Consider claims 10 and 21, Eftechiou teaches a method and arrangement for detecting a first communication device connected to a subscriber line, comprising: examining a characteristics or parameters (i.e., voltage, current or impedance) of the subscriber line for a deviation (col. 3 lines 10-15; col. 7 line 53 – col. 8 line 26); and indicating detecting the first communication device if the deviation exceeds a threshold value (col. 3 lines 16-27; col. 8 lines 44-67; it should be noted that the alarm circuit provides both visual and audible indications).

Eftechiou suggest of detecting intruding devices such as fax, modem, or other automated transfer devices on the telephone line that carries voice or data (see col. 10 lines 53 – col. 11 lines 21). Thus, Eftechiou did not clearly suggest whether the telephone line that caries "data" is a "digital subscriber line". Effection did not suggest of examining a [frequency range] (it should be noted that claim 21 do not have "frequency range") transmission function of the subscriber line for a deviation (i.e., examining the characteristics of a "digital" (DSL) transmission line). However, Gorka suggested of a system and method for monitoring the circuit Art Unit: 2614

properties (analog and digital) to detect wiretapping or eavesdropping on the DSL circuit (see par. 0002, 0005, 0011, 0018, 0046 and 0057).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Gorka into view of Eftechiou detect intruding devices on any types of communications circuit such as DSL circuit.

Consider claim 11, Eftechiou teaches the claimed feature (col. 9 lines 23-30).

Consider claims 12 and 13, Gorka teaches wherein the transmission function (characteristics of transmission line) detects the subscriber line in approximately periodic time intervals and an average of the transmission function is derived from the detection results, wherein the deviation of the transmission function from the average of the transmission function is examined, and wherein the first communication device is detected when the deviation exceeds the threshold value (par. 0018, 0025, 0033, 0075).

Consider claims 14 and 17, Eftechiou teaches the claimed feature (see Fig. 1).

Consider claim 18, Eftechiou teaches wherein when the first communication device is a monitoring device (i.e., eavesdropping or wire-tapping device) (col. 8 lines 45-46).

Consider claims 15 and 19, the combination of Eftechiou and Gorka teach wherein information is transmitted between the second and third communication device according to an xDSL transmission (i.e., data transmission) method (col. 11 lines 10-15; col. 15 line 66 – col. 16 line 1).

Consider claims 16 and 20, the combination of Eftechiou and Gorka teach wherein the examining is carried out by an xDSL modern assigned to the first and/or second communication device (col. 11 lines 10-15; col. 15 line 66 – col. 16 line 1 and par. 0075).

10/571,745 Art Unit: 2614

Consider claim 22, Eftechiou teaches wherein a first and second communication device is connected to the subscriber line for transmitting information (col. 9 lines 23-30).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7511**. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on **(571) 272-7499**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2600**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Quoc D Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614 June 27, 2008